## Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/791,414	XIANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
AMARE F. TABOR	2434	

Applicant(s)

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) AMARE F. TABOR.	(3)	
(2) Eric P. Jensen, Reg. No. 37,647.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 17 January 2012.		
Type:   ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant	applicant's representative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If Yes, brief description:	⊠ No.	
Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 00th (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and deta		
Claim(s) discussed: 10,12 and 22.		
Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.		
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreemer reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, argun		
To advance the prosecution of this case, examiner has contacted applicant's representative, and proposed an examiner's amendment. Applicant's representative has accepted examiner's proposal.		
examiner's amenament, Applicant's representative has acc	epted examiner's proposat.	
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to	provide a separate record of the substance of interview.	
Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other perintent matters discussed reparding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.		
Attachment		
/AMARE F TABOR/ Examiner, Art Unit 2434		
S. Patent and Trademark Office		